G. R. S. IX-6]

Previous references.

Pt 170-15(851-7)

Later references.

Secret

1922

(Municipal)

File No 21-20.

Serial Nos 125, 131, 1
171, 183, 1
195-196, 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 125, 131, 163, 171, 183, 190, 195, 196 & 203

Part B for January 1922

SUBJECT

Application from the President of the Mahilla Seva Samaja, Bangalore for grant of 150 feet on breadth from the 1st Basavanagudi Road fronting the frontage of the Samaja building

To The President, Mahila Seva-Samaja
No 4015 dt 16/1/22.

informing that Govt regret their inability to grant the request

To the President City Mahila, Bangalore
No 4016 dt 16/1/22
Forwards copy of the above

To the Chairman City Municipal
No 4017 dt 16/1/22
Forwards copy of the above

G. R. S. 11] 1920-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Jud.)

NOTES

File No. 21-40

File Heading.

Petitions from Bangalore city

From

the President of the taluk Sabha Secretary, Bangalore

No. - Dated 13.4.21 Received 14.4.21

Diary No. 7744	Enclos. - Spare Copies.	Serial No. 185

Brief Abstract of Letter.

Requests that a sum of 150 feet in breadth from the Fort - Pancharupeti Road for enhancing the frontage of the Sessions building.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted orders of President:-

May be forwarded to the Home Department for issue of a very early report.

(Sd.) H-K
13.4.21

Notes—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 21 of 1921

Serial No	
	Draft is submitted for approval.
	21.4.21
	(Sd) H. [illegible] 22.4.21
131	Letter No. A 11814 dated 20.4.21 from the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council forwarding the application from [illegible] for early report.
163	Letter No. 2553 dated 23.6.21 from the Revenue Officer. Reports that, under the circumstances stated, that the allotment of any portion of 150 feet space reserved for the Embankment is not desirable and that the request of the President of the Council should be complied with and adds that the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, who was consulted in the matter, is of the same opinion.
	1. Submitted for orders.
	6.7.21 Revenue Secy
	(Sd) H. [illegible] 9.7.21

Note—Contn. sheet.

G R S 111 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl File No. 21 of 1924

Serial No.

Third Secy

Why is this file relating to the grant of the land to the Sangha originally, and to the conditions then imposed not put up? Is it not necessary for the decision of this present application.

(Sd) B.R/12.7.24

The grant was made subject to three conditions (Flag X), none of which are material now.

(Sd) H.U.R 16.7.24

The Head President & the Chairman of Improvement Committee made specific mention of certain other important conditions said to have been agreed to by the President of the Sangha at the time. Can for purpose of any, Also the Sketch. Put up a detailed draft

(Sd) B.R 16.7.24

draft is sent herewith.

Put up when dft is ready

Sd 16.7.24

Revised

Secy 22.7.24

NOTE—Chain. sheet

9690 4

G R 3 III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat | Part File No 21 of 19 20

Serial No	
171	Letter no 535 dt 26/7/21 fr the P Bloreah and al Calls for the entire file of papers if any, connected with the original grant of the land to the Samaja together with the Sketch referred to in the Chairman
183	Letter no 2057 12 8 21 from the President, Bangalore city to al Returns the entire file of his office papers connected with the original grant of the land to the Samaja 12 As regards the conditions attached to the original grant, attention is respectfully invited to the Muchalika executed by the President of the Samaja especially to item no. 3 of the Conditions and to the Schedule attached to the Muchalika 13 From the Schedule it is seen a piece of land 150 feet long to the east of the site granted to the Samaja was set apart for a Tunnel Gateway Submitted with reference to para 9

NOTE—Contn. sheet

1089 5

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 21 of 1920.

Serial No

Board of Supervisors
42 O.M. 19/8/21
19-8-21
Mg Revenue

Ltr
19 8

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
AUG 22

1) The application for an additional area of land measuring 150' x 298' to the Sawaya is now for orders The Chairman of the Impt- Committee and the Ml Presidt narrate the circumstances relating to the original grant of about 2 acres and state that in view thereof, the present request can not be comply with

2) But the only point that requires consideration seems whether the present request is well-founded and deserves granted or whether there are any strong reasons against the grant

3) Sketches marked A & B in the file, shew how the matters stand clearly The only serious

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. H. S. XII-8

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 21 of 1920

Serial No

objection is perhaps that the plan of the proposed 'boulevard' along the length of the road will be under water to some extent with regard to this, it will be seen that the total length of the road is over 500 yards, and even after the grant to the Samaja now asked for, there will remain a length of over 400 yards for the formation of the 'boulevard' on the margin

Having regard to the objects of the Institution, the money already spent on the Building, and the inconveniences brought to notice in the application, the application may be favourably considered It will be noticed that the applicants are prepared to let the additional area being laid out as a garden and not built upon without permission With this condition the request may perhaps be granted

[illegible]

Secy

Secy Govt Revenue 20 8 21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

238-21

R. S. 355-32

General and Revenue Secretariat | April File No. 21 of 19 20

Serial No

Draft up draft letter to the President of the Samaya requesting whether they will agree to the condition indicated

24 & 21

21 (1) Is there any commercial property in the area between the proposed boulevard being between up & the last canal in the drawing No 1 (2) Has any scheme been worked out or planned for this area, what is it & why

22 (3) It is decided to construct a boulevard 150 ft. wide. What will be the minimum width required for this purpose (4) If the boulevard is constructed what is the distance between the Jenin Hospital premises & would it be necessary to close to the road as well & extend of the making of the proposed boulevard in front of the

23 (5) When will the boulevard begin & end, to keep separately the compound wall of the Samaya must be in line with that of the Jenin Hospital Is it also decided to remove the boulevard from the point where the Samaya's compound wall begins there should be no objection to grant them at least some 50 to 60 ft out of the total extent land required for this purpose the title may be read with the Government for payment of the amount after completion of the road

Norm—Contin sheet

29 A

8

A. R. S. 111.

General and Revenue Secretariat | ppl File No. 21 of 1920

Serial No

In reference to the above, the following particulars are submitted for information before transmission of the file to Mr. Krumbiegel as directed in the concluding part of the minute

(1) It is not known whether there is any immediate prospect of the boulevard being taken up. The City Improvement Committee has to be asked

(2) No scheme has been received by Govt. or sanctioned. What it is likely to cost is not known

(3) Re, the minimum width regd. The plan of the Kasturavarapuram Extension which provides for the boulevard on the eastern length of the Fort Center road, provides for a width of 100 feet, the width of the Main road itself being also 100 feet, though, as actually formed at present, it would seem to be 40 or 60 feet. The Samaja Building & the additional site now applied for are along the western length of the Main road

(4) The Compound of the Jail Hostel was, it is believed

Note—Contn sheet

1037 9

G. R. S. 125-21

Revenue and Revenue Secretariat | Rev File No. 21 of 1921

Serial No

allowed to be constructed before the idea of the boulevard was entertained. This idea originated when the Kasivisvanapuram Extension was formed

(5) The boulevard may begin on the East of the road from where the Vokkaligar Sangha site ends, and, on the West, from the Corner of the Samaja site that may be arrived at according to the decision on the present application

The file with, if appd, be now sent officially to Mr Krumbiegel, as directed

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rec'd on AUG 31 1921
No. 1432

Dewan) VB VG 30 8 21

Rec'd 31-8-21

VG No 21
2 8 21

2.9.21

Forwarded, with compliments, to Mr Krumbiegel for favor of remarks after inspection of site (P 7 of the note)

By order
D. Srinivasa Iyengar
1.9.21
Ag Rev Secy to Govt

P. T. O.

P m

Note—Contn sheet

1039 10

R S III

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 21 of 1921

Serial No

09517

REV SEC

1921

No 621 Dated 12th Sept 1921

28 (Returned with compliments.) The Boulevard is part of a scheme I submitted some 10 years ago which had for its object the linking up of the Gardens, Public Parks, and open spaces of Bangalore by wide Roads which would enable fast and slow traffic as also pedestrians to move without interfering with each other As the scheme almost entirely ---- consisted in the improvements of existing Roads, I submitted it at the very start of the existence of the Improvement Committee so that limits could be fixed, adjoining Building sites arranged in conformity with this Road scheme and actual improvement would be carried out as apportunity arose

29 In the case under consideration, the Boulevard extended from the Basavaragudi Circle to the Fort (near the City Institute) but the ---- Municipality have completely lost sight of and frustrated the scheme when they gave away part of the land to the Jain Hostel and the Vokkaligar Sangha The low area if not the whole, of what is now the Visvesvarapuram Extension was meant for a Public Park for the Fort and Basavargudi Now however, only th area between Fort Centre Road and Hardinge Road is reserved The matter therefore stands thus -

(1) A boulevard (Centre Road, Side Roads Foot-paths divided by strips of turf and four lin of trees) is not feasible unless it runs the whol

Note—Contin sheet.

P T O

Serial No

whole length from Basavangudi Circle to a similar circle at a suitable junction of Roads in or near the Fort Such a short length from Basavangudi Circle to the Jain Hostel and Vokkaligara Sangha respectively and ending at these places against a dead stone wall, has no meaning and I would not like to be sponsor to so mutilated a scheme

(2) The area reserved as a Public Playground is too small to be further encroached upon by the Boulevard even if above radical objection did not ex

(3) It follows that the remaining bit of land in front of the Mahila Seva Samaja is least of all of any use for the Boulevard

(4) The fixing of the entrance on the sideroad and the limiting of the space in front of Building to 40 in the Muchalika is technically wrong Apart from the resultant inconveniences to the occuparts the arrangement does not bring out the full effect of the property as a Town Embellishment

30 The minimum space in front of the building should be twice the height of the latter and the Entrance should be from the Mainroad where the Gate, or Gates justify a more ornamental treatment of the compound wall than on the sideroads

31 From the above, it will be seen that the only logical way of dealing with this ground is to add it to the Samaja Compound which I strongly recommend

Their

1039

G. R. S. III-S

General and Revenue Secretariat

T2L File No. 14 of 1921

Serial No

- 3 -

Their front wall must be in line with that of the Jain Hostel and must be more ornamental than the side and backwalls and with gates in keeping with it

Economic Botanist,

M/M Superintendent, Govt Gardens

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on SEP 19 1921
No. 1842

32 A draft order on the lines of the recommendation made by the Director and the trend of notes in paras 9 to 11 above is put up for approval or orders

J
Dewan.)

D
17 9 21

33 Reports of the Committee, I must first communicate to the Chairman of the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Department & then only I can submit the papers to Govt for any further orders

20 9 21

190 To (1) B K Garudachar Esqr
(2) K P Puttanna Chetty Esqr
No L 1696-7 & L 2014/21
Forwarded for remarks if any, of the views expressed by the Director in the matter.

Note—Contn sheet.

1029

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 21 of 1922

Serial No.

14)

a great convenience of
(in the event of delay being
anticipated on the disposal
of the general question of
the boulevard) at least
provisional permission be
accorded if the compound
wall being carried up to
and along the line of the
Jain Hostel in front, so
long as the Sanyasis
concerned

Yours sincerely
J. C. Chamberlain

paid Rs. 10 vide

Dear Mr.

The
Mahatma
The ship
of its
pending
and we
thankful
can be

The
ground
and on the
been
contracts

15) Replies from the President of the City Rural Council and the Chairman of the City Improvement Committee are still awaited. The file is put up for perusal

(Low secy)

14-10-21

16) Submit for orders (may we ask to give tentative remarks, if any, in a week)

Secy

14 10 21

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON 1921
No. 2313

Secretariat—Contin. sheet.

(15)

G. R. S.

General and Revenue Secretariat.	Urul File No. 24 of 1920

Serial No	
Fr 237	Answer order 38 Replies should be got very early by issuing reminders seeing that it is nearly a month since the original communication was addressed PDO DS 17/10/21 39 Issue D O reminder particulars to Eastern DS 18 10 21 40 Sent DS 18 10 21
195	DO Letter No. C 5 & 22 to 21 from the President, Bangalore exg Urul Cl. States that the Urul Council are desirous of forming a circle at the junction of the Cross road to the north of the land granted to the Sanitoria from Shankar pur Extension with the Fort Centre Road and of having boulevards on either side of the Fort Centre Road the aforesaid circle to the Fort in Banavarapalya and that the 5 of a part of this boulevard to the the Sanitoria will not only serve the

Note—Cont

2314 (16)

D24

G. H. S. 353-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | rel File No 21 of 19 24

Serial No.

Grantable this object but ~~also~~ may disfigure the whole of this area the Council are desirous to learn and Yours therefore thank the more learnt can be given to the Samaja and that the Tambachetty should ~~address~~ to

[illegible] the Chairman's reply is rather true. ~~the~~ Yours to so on getting ~~consulted~~ from the Head President (Vide his Book 20-8 October 1921 post ult)

[illegible] approved his reply ~~will~~ be addressed

25-6-24 [illegible] 25/6/24

[illegible] 3 yes [illegible] 25/6/24

196 to Letter dt the (Rel) of 26 6. 24 from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore

Yours that owing the scheme explained, it is not advisable both from the aesthetic point of view and public convenience to allot Samaja any portion of the 150 feet of the open space deliberately reserved on sound considerations of

NOTE—Contn sheet

2314
24 (17)

G. R. S. [illegible]-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rl File No 21 of 19 24

Serial No

where the site was granted.

(b) the file relating to the revised estimate for layering out the V. Peravan Extension, wherein there is a plan of the layout of the said Extension, was referred Hon-ble Minister for Chief Engr for favour of remarks of the revised estimate put up to me along with the Chief Engr's

(c) Submitted for Hon-ble perusal & para 23 supra

28 W 21 (Rev Secy)

28/10/24

(4) The replies of the usual pradat to the Irrgn Committee Chairman received after many reminders are put up

(a) They both adhere to their former views, as they are to be expected they both are at considerable pains to show that the Municipal Council is not responsible for permitting the Town Hostel and Vokkaliga Sangha to approach so near the road But whatever may be the merits, the fact remains that they have been so permitted & that [illegible] the limits of the road margin have

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III 9

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 21 of 1920

Serial No

been practically fenced thereby from the City institute & even from the Taluk offices the land has been fenced at a certain distance from the road down to the Town Hostel, to put back the line thereafter abruptly & to resume it again at Basavanagudi does not seem to be either very sensible or very artistic

4] Hence only points now for orders seem to be the following —

(1) whether a Stately boulevard, less than 2 2/3 furlongs can be said to form a magnificent view, as asserted by the Chairman Mr Krishnarajendra's opinion condemning the idea as a mutilated one, Standing unrelated to any full and logical Scheme has, one fears to be accepted ~~the Chairman's~~ will very few will be able to share the Chairman's rapture over the magnificent view

(2) whether the taking away of 150 feet out of the playground (450 ft wide) would not be a serious encroachment on the latter, and render it useless on the front again, but it's view seems to be quite, & need to be accepted the plea that there is another playground in Basavanagudi seems to be feeble and pointless to well might Mr Krishnarajendra say, there is a much better boulevard on

NOTE—Contn sheet

G R.

Genl and Revenue Secretariat | Genl File No. 21 of 1920

Serial No

green meadows in the Lal Bagh

(3) Admittedly, the boulevard is not as early executed as conceived in paras 4 to means money for annual outlay for upkeep for establishment etc. The Municipal President frankly admitted (in conversation) that the Municipality can ill afford so much money on a luxury like this, after urging need for roads, drains & a number of other requirements in the worse parts of the city. He admits as much in para 2 of his letter also. As pointed out by the Secy (Mr B Rama Swamy) in para 2 & (2) no scheme has yet been prepared or submitted to Govt so far and it would be difficult to give approval to a costly scheme which is at the same time condemned by expert opinion.

(4) In view of what he (Mr B R) points out in paras 15 to 18, and the minute of the Dewan in paras 19 & 20-23, the application of the Municipality may perhaps be favorably considered.

(5) The proposal of the Municipal President (last para of his letter) to cover the boulevard — funds permitting — on the Eastern side is a perfect gem of

Note—Contn sheet.

(20)

Revenue | File No. 21 of 1920

Serial No

necessary may henceforth be approved

2. In No. 1 above the boundary "boulevard" is actually will be more than a bare patch of ground, the resort of stray cattle and not of much use or beauty

3. The draft is slightly amended and submitted for approval

4. As to the papers relating to the original grant of the land and generally to this enquiry the Maharaja at present seems to think that a short D S N in the present case also be submitted & if so asked one will be put up

Dewan) 10 11 21

15-

Draft approved - A D-J 22

may be put up accordingly

R-11-21 Secy 21 11 21

A D S N as amended submitted

22.11.21 22/11

D S N as revised may be approved

Rev Secy) 23/11/21

further revised to be typed

23-11-21

RECEIVED NOV 16 1921 No. 2

Note—Contn sheet (pencil above)

(21)

G. H. S. [illegible]

Council and Revenue Secretariat.	ml No. 21 of 1920
Serial No	A D S N w Subd as directed (The rough Sketch on margin is intended to show the position at a glance — with clearly drawn description of approaches, it maybe re on the file, otherwise, deleted) Dewan) ✓ 23 11 21

WAIL - [illegible]

28 NOV 21 [illegible]

6

Note—Omit check

(22)

Submitted to His Highness

These papers relate to the application of the President, Mahila Seva Samaja, Bangalore for grant of additional land for the Samaja

2 In pursuance of gracious orders conveyed in demi-official letter No 97 dated the 27th September 1916 from the Private Secretary to His Highness, a site situated between the Hardinge Road and the Fort Centre Road, Bangalore City, measuring one acre 39 guntas and 108 83 sq yards was given free of cost on certain conditions The dimensions of the site are 292 ft West to East and 298 ft North to South To the east of the site and lying between it and the Fort Centre Road is a strip of land 150 ft broad It was stipulated at the time permission to erect the building was given by the Honorary President of the City Municipal Council that no entrance gateway should be opened on the eastern side

3 The President of the Samaja now wants the space 150 ft broad referred to above to be granted to the Samaja and represents that the frontage has to be in a line with the Jain Hostel which is situated North of the Samaja on the Fort Centre Road as otherwise the main structure will lose its proper setting The Honorary President City Municipal Council, to whom the application was sent, did not view it favourably as the space had been reserved for a boulevard which has to run the entire breadth (150 ft) alongside the Fort Centre Road I [redacted] inspected the locality in Company with the Honorary President, City Municipal Council in April last and was not satisfied with

(28)

with this view as the compound wall of the Jain Hostel abutted the road So enquiries were made as to the feasibility of the boulevard The Superintendent, Govern ment Gardens has stated that the boulevard should have extended the whole length from near the City Institute Fort, to the Basavangudi Circle but that since the Jain Hostel and the Vokkaligara Sangha occupy spaces immediate to the West and East respectively of the road in question a boulevard for the short length from the Jain Hostel to the Basavangudi Circle would have no meaning The Honorary President City Municipal Council, and the Chai man of the City Improvement Committee, however, opine th the boulevard is necessary and that therefore the present request cannot be granted

4 I am not convinced of the aesthetic success of a boulevard of confined length I think that an eastern entrance to the Samaja premises from the Fort Centre Road is necessary in view of the importance of the work the institution is carrying on and hence consider that the space applied for may be given to the Samaja on the unde standing that it will not be built upon without permis- sion and will be laid out as a garden

5 A draft order sanctioning the grant is placed in the file for favour of gracious approval

28 June 1921

The Palace, Mysore,
5th January 1922.

My dear Sir,

I am directed to return the accompanying file and to state that His Highness is not in favour of the proposal to grant the additional land applied for by the Mahila Seva Samaja as it is considered desirable to reserve the land for a boulevard.

Yours sincerely,

Rajasevadhurina

Sir M. Kantharaj Urs, K.C.I.E., C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

No.399
28-11-21

Grant of additional land to the Mahila Seva Samaja, Bangalore.

Returned with D.O. No 166 dated 6-1-1922

Order.

Drafted Sub for approval

7.1.22 (Dewan Secy)

(Dewan) Draft approved by Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on JAN 9 1922
Reg. No. 3705

C2201—GIPRE—20g—7-5-20

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

ml File No. 21 of 1922

Serial No. 203

REVENUE & JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
28 JAN 1922
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL BRANCH

To, The president, Mahila Seva Samaja

No L 4015/L 16/22

States that Govt regret to express their inability to grant the request ~~of reserving the~~ as the land is reserved for a boulevard)

Note—Contin sheet

A.R.S.VI—6/3—

26

Seva Samaja
WOMEN'S SERVICE LEAGUE).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
Date of Receipt 14 4 21
Letter No 185

BANGALORE CITY
13th April 1921

An Association for the advancement of Indian Women

Educational Classes
English
Kanarese
& General Knowledge
Hygiene

Music Class

Domestic Economy Class

Industrial Classes
Sewing & Dressmaking
Rattan work

Scholarships to poor pupils

Reading Room and Library

Periodical Social Gatherings

Lectures
on Social Educational Moral Sanitary and other topics

From

The President

Mahila Seva Samaja Bangalore

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Bangalore

DN-7746
14-4-21

Sir,

I beg to state that the Mahila Seva Samaja has constructed a spacious and ornamental building in two stories on the site graciously granted for the purpose by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja on the open ground between the Fort- Basavangudi Road and the Hardinge Road

2 The Samaja is now engaged in putting up a compound wall to enclose the site In doing so it is that the open space in front i e. to the east, of the main building is so narrow (only about 40 feet) that it will not only spoil the appearance of the buildin

B. E. VI—G/32]

17

Seva Samaja
(WOMEN'S SERVICE LEAGUE)

BANGALORE CITY

192

No

An Association for the advancement of Indian Women

Classes
English
Kannarese
General Knowledge
Hygiene

Music Class

Domestic Economy Class

Industrial Classes
Sewing & Dressmaking
Rattan work

Scholarships to poor pupils

Reading Room and Library

Periodical Social Gatherings

Lectures
Social Educational
Sanitary and
topics

to erect a wall quite so close to the frontage, but that there will not be sufficient space for carriages to turn round, much less for the construction (which is in con-templation) of an ornamental water- fountain as part of the frontage

3 This inconvenience is due to the fact that when the site sanctioned by Government was marked out and handed over to the Samaja by the Municipality, a space extending 150 feet in breadth from the Fort-Basavangudi Road was reserved for a boulevard This, it will be seen, thrusts the site granted to the Samaja a good deal beyond the alignment of other buildings abutting on the same road, especially the Jain Hostel immediately to the north, besides cramping the Samaja considerably for space. for playgrounds garden etc

R. S. VI—G/32]

28

ila Seva Samaja
WOMEN'S SERVICE LEAGUE)

BANGALORE CITY

192

No

An Association for the advancement of Indian Women

ucational Classes
English
Kanarese
General Knowledge
Hygiene

Music Class

Domestic Economy Class

Industrial Classes
Sewing & Dressmaking
Rattan work

holarships to poor pupils

Reading Room and Library

Periodical Social Gatherings

Lectures
on Social Educational Moral Sanitary and other topics

4 In these circumstances it is respectfully requested that the Samaja may be permitted to enclose the strip of 150 feet in question, on condition, if need be, that the same will be laid out as a garden and not be built upon without permission I understand that the idea of lay--ing out a boulevard (the width of which appears to have since been reduced to 100 feet) is in abeyance for the present, so that there appears to be no difficulty in granting this request

5 As the work of the compound wall is in active progress and has to be completed soon, I have approached you direct with the present request and solicit the favour of early orders

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Parvati Ammal
President

G R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretaries

( )

, the 191

Date of issue

Signed by

Secretariat

File No. 21-24

Serial No. 131

No. R 118 14. dt 25 4 47

To

The Secretary,
Bangalore City Municipal Council.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of early disposal an application dt 13th April 1921 from the President of the Mahila Samaja Bowring Bangalore, stating that on their plan of forming the Samaja Building

over.

30

[illegible] is to have out
to walk not only afford the
[illegible] of the building of
a compound wall is marked [illegible]
[illegible] of the frontage but there
would not be the [illegible] outwards
[illegible] and requesting
[illegible] before the [illegible] a space in front
of 100 feet in [illegible] high at
Fort-Barrackpore [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

I have [illegible]
[illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

-3/

No. 255
Sw No

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Bangalore City dated 23rd June 1921

R N 9590
30 6 21

From

B K GARUDACHAR ESQ
President Municipal Council
BANGALORE CITY

Jud 21-20-163

To

B Ramaswamaiya, Esq, B A B L
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department Bangalore

S i r,

With reference to your letter No R 11814/M1 21-20-31 dated 25-4-1921 forwarding for report the application of the President of Manija Seva Samaja Bangalore, requesting for the grant of the open space in front of the Samaja building between Fort Basavangudi Road and the Hardinge Road I have the honor to state that the open space of 150 ft is specially reserved for laying out a "boulevard" as a public necessity and improvement as there will be similar boulevard on the eastern side of the Fort Centre Road within the limits of the Visvesvarapuram Extension This layout will be symetrical and will beautify the Fort Centre Road which is one of the most important roads in the City This was made clear to the President of the Samaja when the site was granted reserving 150 feet margin on the Fort Centre Road for the said boulevard

Under these circumstances I am of opinion that the allotment of any portion of the 150 feet space reserved for the boulevard is not desirable and the request of the President of the Samaja cannot be complied with The Chairman, City Improvement Committee who was consulted in this matter holds the same opinion as mine A copy of his letter expressing the circumstances of the case in detail is herein enclosed for kind perusal

3

The application received with your letter under

reply

4903

192

From The Honorary Presidents
City-Improvement Committee
Bangalore

To
The Secy to Government
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 24 6 21
Received

General No. 255 G.S.C.
No. 25 JUN 1921

Enclosure No.

Subject Grant of 150 feet of Land to make a Serpentine

Forwarding copy of a letter from the Chairman City-Improvement Committee, Bangalore & Standing Mail It is not practicable desirable to allot 150 feet of land especially reserved for the lay out of a Boulevard; the petition is therefore refused

reply is herewith returned

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Mc Garrah Brown

President

HRS-23

Enc to Rl 21-20-K&J 33

Copy of letter No 612, dated 14th June 1921, from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore, to the President City Municipal Council, Bangalore

---

With reference to your memo No C F 471/28th April 1921 forwarding the letter No.R 11814/M1 21-20-131 from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department regarding the inclusion of 150 width of open space reserved or a boulevard on the east of the Mahila Seva Samaj, within the compound of the Samaj, I have the honor to state that the original rough proposal (shown in the sketch (flag A) in the file) made evidently at the suggestion of the President of the Samaja was for special reasons modified so as to keep back the plot of land given to the Samaja 150' away from the Fort Centre Road

(2) The point was made clear to the President of the Samaj in the draft mutchalika to be executed by them The President not only accepted the set back of the plot 150' away from the Fort Centre Road but also accepted the condition not to open any gate or entrance in the eastern compound wall which abutted the 150 feet of reserved space, as also to leave a clear space of 40' width between the Samaj building and the eastern compound wall If the Samaj authorities had deemed that 40' width of space was insufficient there was nothing to prevent them from placing the building further west as much as they liked, since there is ample room on that side

(3) I do not see how the original proposal of laying out a boulevard in the 150' reserved space which is a public necessity and improvement can now be abandoned and the land allowed to be included in the compound of the Samaj There will be a boulevard on the east of the Fort Centre Road as a portion of the Visvesvarapuram Extension The boulevard on the reserved space of 150' on the west of the Fort Centre Road is intended to set off that on the east so as to make the lay-out symmetrical and beautify the Fort Centre Road

(4) I regret I cannot recommend the allotment of any portion of the 150' space reserved for the boulevard.

(5) The enclosures received with your memo No G F 471 and the file of papers on the subject are herewith returned

Sd/ K P Puttanna Chetty

Chairman
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

" C O P Y "

President
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

HRS-43

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 9690

File No. 21—

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rl)

No.

, the 191

Date of issue 26.7.1924

Signed by Asst.:

directed to invite your attention to
the conditions attached to the grant
of the land to the Samaj on the
terms as per letter No 2J 9 dated 29-20-1
Part II 9-15-63 the 6th Nov 1916, copies of which enclosed
to the point mentioned
by you, viz,
the construction of the 150 feet
margin for the boulevard, including
that of the Principal Gate,
the planting of trees, the
maintenance of the said Instrument
and keeping also which last named point—
was under that of point
of the Samaj in the draft-transfer
deed to be executed, and
that the Principal Gate
[illegible] the said back
of the plot of 150 ft. boundary from the
Fort Cochin Road, as also the condition not to open
any gate or entrance
in the eastern compd
Copied by wall which
abutted the 150
Examined by ft reserved
space to

List of enclosures.

3. [illegible] to request you [illegible]
to be so good as to return to me the enclosed
file of papers forwarded with the original
grant of the land to the Samaj together
with the sketch sent to by me ——

G. R. S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

The Chairman of the City Improvement Committee in his letter No 612 of the 15th June 1921 to you says.

I have to

22.7.21

22.7.21

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

No._____

Bangalore City dated 12th August 1921

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT'S
1039
17 AUG 1921
LOCAL SELF GOVT.
BRANCH

From

B K GARUDACHAR ESQ
President Municipal Council
BANGALORE CITY

Jul 21-20-183

To

B Ramaswamaiya Esq B A B L
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department Bangalore

S i r,

Referring to your letter No 535/L1 21-20-171 dated 26th July 1921 in the matter of the application of the President of the Manila Seva Samaja Bangalore for the grant of the open space of 150 feet in front of the Samaja buildings reserved for a 'boulevard' I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of papers connected with the original grant of the land to the Samaja The sketch referred to by the Chairman of the City Improvement Committee in his letter No 612 dated 14th June 1921 is in the file (Vide Flag A)

2 With regard to your observation that the conditions attached to the grant of the land to the Samaja as laid down in para 2 of C O No 2820-1/L1 119-15-6 dated 6th November 1916 do not refer to the reservation of the 150 feet margin for the boulevard I beg to state that those conditions refer only to the land granted to the Samaja and not to other lands reserved for Municipal purposes but from the schedule showing the boundaries of the land granted as specified in the agreement itself it is clear that the land 150 feet wide was reserved for the boulevard

3 Reference is also invited to a sketch in the file (marked B) which shows exactly the land granted to the

Samaja

06379

192

From The Honorary Presidn
City municipal Council
Bangalore

To The Secretary to Govt
Rev Dept
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 16-8-21
Received

General No 35 16 Aug 21
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Re Grant of the Open Space of 15-0 feet in front of the Samaja.

Forwarding for perusal & return of file of Records

(39

Samaja and that reserved for Municipal purposes

4 Condition (3) of the Patchalika executed by the President of the Samaja (Flag C) refers to the stipulation that no entrance gateway shall be opened on the eastern side The schedule of the land granted to the Samaja clearly specifies that the 150 feet of land to the east of it is set apart for Municipal purposes

5 The file of papers may be sent returned when no longer required

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

President

HRS-12

D.O. L 1696-7 Encl 1 leaf (60)

General and Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore, 20th Septr. '21.

Jul 21-20-190

Dear Sir,

Adverting to the question of the grant of the open space of 150 feet in front of the Mahila Seva Samaja Building, I am desired to request copy of the views expressed in the matter by Mr. Krumbiegal for favour of your remarks if any, if possible before the end of this week

Yours Sincerely,

20-9-21

To

(1) K Garudachar Esq President Ml Counc
(2) K P Puttanna Chetty Esq, Chairman City Improvement Commi

41

Urgent

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
BANGALORE
Dated 22nd October 1921

D.O J.65/24 v 21

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
2314
24 OCT 1921
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

Rel 21-20-195

Dear Sir

With reference to your D O letter No L 1696-7/M1 21-20--190 dated 20-9-1921, forwarding for my remarks a copy of letter No 621 dated 13th September 1921, from Mr Krumbiegel containing his views on the question of the grant of the open space in front of the Mahila Seva Samaja building I beg to state as follows -

The idea of forming a Boulevard has been long under consideration, but it appears that its extent was limited from the Basavangudi Circle to the cross road to the North of the Mahila Seva Samaja building This is clear from the fact that the land to the West of the Fort Centre Road and to the North of the said cross road has all along been private property and it is not correct to say that a part of this land was given away to the Jain Hostel by the Municipality Further, the idea of a Boulevard was not in existence when the vast extent of land was granted to the Vokkaligara Sangha about 20 years back It is not therefore correct to say that, when this idea was started the Boulevard was intended to extend up to the Fort (near the City Institute) I am not aware of any scheme to convert the area now covered by the Visvesvarapuram Extension into a public park at an enormous cost both for the acquisition and lay-out so close to a fine and extensive public garden namely the Lal-Bagh It cannot therefore be admitted that the Municipality have either lost sight of any scheme or frustrated it in any way I remember that Mr Krumbiegel was consulted when the Visvesvarapura Extension was laid out and that then he did not say anything about his idea of the boulevard

2 The

2 The cross road to the North of the land granted to the Mahila Seva Samaja, from Shankarpur Extension, will be continued towards the east to reach the green plot in the Visvesvarapuram Extension and will be one of the most important roads It will serve as one of the main arteries leading to the Public Offices from parts of the western Extension of the City As such it is intended to have a circle at its junction with the Fort Centre Road and to have the boulevards on either side of the Fort Centre Road from the aforesaid circle to the first circle in Basavangudi This distance is by no means trifle and will need some considerable sum for its lay-out and maintenance as suggested by Mr Krumbiegel The grant of a part of this boulevard land lying to the east of the Mahila Seva Samaja to that Institution will frustrate this object and may disfigure the whole of this area which the Council are desirous to beautify

3 The area of the public play ground may not be materially encroached upon by the utilisation of a strip on the side of the Fort Centre Road for the boulevard and there is no want for any play ground in this locality as we have another extensive play ground in Basavangudi close by

4 The other reasons quoted by Mr Krumbiegel do not appeal to me and are not at all so cogent as to sacrifice a scheme intended for public convenience in the interests of a single institution which has already been granted out of a public recreation ground an extensive plot sufficient for its purposes

5 I am therefore of opinion that no more land can be given to the Samaja and that the mutchalika should be adhered to

6 If the Government should however consider that no boulevard is necessary to the west of the Fort Centre Road and that

that the one to the east as already laid out will do there will be no objection to the grant as proposed for

Yours sincerely

To

D Srinivasaiengar Esq B A,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department, Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN
CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Bangalore 26th October 1921

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
L 2422
28 OCT 1921
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

Mil 21-20-196

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D O. letter No L 1696-7/Ml 21-20-190 dated 20th September 1921 forwarding for my remarks a note from Mr. Krumbiegel on the question of disposal of the 150 feet strip of land to the east of the Mahila Seva Samaj I beg to state that I am not aware of any definite detail scheme of the formation of a boulevard along the Fort Centre Road which Mr Krumbiegel refers to as having been submitted by him 10 years ago

2 I believe however, that a nebulous idea of forming a boulevard along the Fort Centre Road existed for some time though it was not seriously thought of until the City Improvement Committee constituted under G O No 6340-9/Ml 330-13-3 dated 20th December 1913 recommended in formulating proposals for City Improvement, the formation of a new Extension on the East of the Fort Centre Road

3 In the preparation of the plan of the New Extension, now known as the Visvesvarapura Extension a strip of land 100 feet wide has been specially reserved for a boulevard on the east of the Fort Centre Road so that it might balance that intended on the west It was then that the limits of the boulevard were practically defined They are the first circle of Basavangudi on the south, and the cross road from Shankarpur on the north

4 The northern limit of the intended boulevard could not have been extended further north either on the west or on the east of the Fort Centre Road On the west of the Fort Centre Road the Jain Hostel, Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Row's Chattram and the Theosophical Society occupied the grounds up to the City Institute while on the east the Vokkaligar Sangha lands almost wholly extended between the cross road and the Fort So far as I am aware the City Municipal Council had no hand in the disposal of these lands

to the several institutions mentioned above, and as such I fail to see how the City Municipal Council can be accused of frustrating any scheme of Mr Krumbiegel's

5 In para 2 he says that the area now converted into Visvesvarapuram Extension was intended for a public park

6 Apart from the futility of having a park so close to the Lal Bagh and at a prohibitive initial cost I might remind Mr Krumbiegel that he did not raise any objection when the City Improvement Committee of which he was a member resolved upon the formation of an Extension here Vide resolution 9 dated 11th February 1914 So that instead of acting contrary to his advice both the City Municipal Council and the City Improvement Committee have been availing themselves of his advice as much as possible I am inclined to think that if the reserved width of 100 feet of space on the east of Fort Centre Road is sufficient, the 150 feet width reserved on the west near the Mahila Seva Samaj should be quite sufficient for a boulevard And the length is not inconsiderable if we note that from the cross road to the first circle in Basavanagudi it is 1750 feet or nearly 3 furlongs The width of the play ground on the west of Fort Centre Road is 450 feet and if 150 feet out of it is taken up for the boulevard right through, the remaining width of 300 feet ought to be ample for the play ground There is another play ground in Basavangudi area

7 The cross road from Shankarapur goes direct to the central green plot of the Visvesvarapuram Extension and through the new Diagonal Road forms the main thoroughfare to the public offices In keeping with its importance a circle is intended to be formed at the junction of the cross road and the Fort Centre Road. A stretch of boulevard nearly 3 furlongs long with a circle at each end must be capable of lending a magnificent view I am sure that Mr Krumbiegel with his great resources must be able to tackle

the problem in an aesthetic manner.

8. I regret that I do not agree with him that extending the compound of the Samaj up to the Fort Centre Road would add to the Town embellishment. The Mahila Seva Samaj building on the west of the Fort Centre Road is not balanced by any building on the East of the Fort Centre Road as this is reserved open space for a 100 feet boulevard I therefore think it proper not to extend it further east

9 In conclusion, I am of opinion, that, as stated in my letter No 612 dated 14th June 1921 to the Honorary President, City Municipal Council, it is not advisable both from the aesthetic point of view and public convenience to allot to the Samaja any portion of the 150 feet of the open space deliberately reserved on sound considerations when the site was granted

Yours Sincerely,

Chairman,
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

D Srinivasaiengar Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharajah of Mysore, Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e

Section Genl
File 40 21-20
Serial No 203

D 2422

G R S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

No 4015 Dt 16 1-22

To the President,
Arabia Seva Samaja
Bangalore

Madam

With reference to your letter of date 13th April 1921 praying for the grant of 150 feet of open space in front of the Arabia Seva Samaja Building on the Fort Cubbon Road in Bangalore city and for permission to enclose the space within the compound of the building for carrying out a garden, I am directed to inform you Govt regret their inability to grant the request, as Govt consider it desirable to reserve the land in question

a Conference, for the purpose of

to have the

enquiry staff by

9/11

10/22

Memo No

Copy forwarded to the Presidency Magistrate, Bangalore City Council together with the file of papers with reference to the correspondence ending with this letter No 35 dated 12th August 1914 L 4016 M/16/1/22 One one file

By Order

Memo No

Copies of the above (1) to the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore & (2) the Superintending Engineer, East Circle, Bangalore for info L 4018 M/16/1 L 4017 M/16/1

B-d

G 1 B—20,000—16-2-16